



Advisory Board evaluation

Does the measures in this report?	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	What other measures, in your opinion, should we take?
<p>1. Tackle FCT’s assessment negative points?</p> <p>2. Will help placing HEI-Lab on the track for a better assessment in 4 years’ time</p> <p>3. Is up to date with what is expected from an international outlook?</p>					<p>x</p> <p>x</p>	<p>Yes, the reformulation is very adequate in order to solve the issues point by the FCT. My main concern is with what seems to be a very large focus instead of a narrower one. Although you count with many researchers, having so many cognitive variables, contexts and applications can be a great challenge.</p> <p>Hiring new researchers can increase the amount of research and output. Connecting with the clinical program, and involving the students is very important, as it amplifies not only the outputs but the knowledge of students.</p> <p>The project seems to be heading that way. As it will grow stronger, and having more results, it can feed the new developments</p>
<p>4. Additional comments</p>						<p>I think that a strong effort to connect with other groups, or even forming a bigger network is desirable, as they can increase the amount of opportunities, exchange, knowledge, and different outputs. You should consider the amount of investment in that area.</p> <p>The issue of being an applied research unit does not exclude the possibility of generating micro-theories that are derived front the main CBT approach, as it applies to the “electronic” agenda. Or even develop theories that make sense of the more general knowledge gathered from the research.</p>